

Chao Wang

Dexin Community, Tianhe East Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

15705425754@163.com

July 08, 2025

RECEIVED

2025 JUL 10 P 1:16

CLERK
US BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Honorable Judge Karen B. Owens

United States Bankruptcy Court

District of Delaware

824 North Market Street, 3rd Floor

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

USA

Re: Objection to the Designation of China as a "Restricted Foreign Jurisdiction"

In re: FTX Trading Ltd., et al., Case No. 22-11068 (KBO)

Dear Judge Owens:

**OBJECTION TO MOTION OF FTX RECOVERY TRUST TO IMPLEMENT
RESTRICTED JURISDICTION PROCEDURES**

Submitted by: Chao Wang (Chinese Tax Resident and Representative of Chinese FTX
Creditors)

Preliminary Statement

As creditor holding Claim No. 18407 who has fully complied with all procedural requirements under the Plan, I hereby formally object to the Motion. The proposed designation arbitrarily and inequitably jeopardizes our distribution rights. The Motion's classification of China as a "Restricted Jurisdiction" lacks factual and legal basis. As demonstrated below, no credible evidence indicates that distributions to Chinese creditors would expose the Trust or its agents to regulatory or criminal liability.

I. FTX Claims Are USD-Denominated and Payable via Lawful Channels

1. FTX claims are denominated and settled in U.S. Dollars. While these claims originate from crypto investments, the Debtors' Plan explicitly adopts a USD-denominated valuation and distribution framework. The proposed distributions are fiat-based and do not require engagement with virtual assets, rendering them functionally indistinguishable from traditional monetary claims.[1]

2. USD wire transfers are lawful and standard payment mechanisms. FTX Recovery Trust has announced partnerships with global payment platforms (e.g., Payoneer, BitGo) and distribution agents (e.g., Kraken) to facilitate fiat disbursements. Chinese residents can lawfully receive USD wires via Hong Kong-based accounts, which is outside the scope of capital control violations.[2][3]

3. USD settlement mechanisms exist for Chinese creditors. Even if crypto-based payouts are avoided, there are sufficient and lawful channels for distributing USD. In the Celsius Network LLC case, the bankruptcy court successfully disbursed USD to Chinese creditors via standard international wire transfers, confirming the existence of practical and compliant financial infrastructure.[4]

4. Conclusion to Section I. Given that the FTX bankruptcy estate values and settles creditor claims in U.S. Dollars, this case is fundamentally no different from other bankruptcy proceedings when it comes to distribution. The U.S. Dollar is a global reserve currency and can be lawfully transmitted to Chinese creditors through established channels. Equal access to USD compensation is essential to preserving fairness and equity in the bankruptcy process. The FTX Recovery Trust's attempt to withhold distributions from Chinese creditors based on jurisdictional interpretations of crypto regulation is both unreasonable and legally unfounded. Chinese creditors are entitled to equal treatment in USD distributions just like creditors in other jurisdictions. Any attempt to block such distributions based on speculative regulatory interpretations is not only unjust but lacks legal basis.

II. Even Crypto-Based Distributions Are Legally Permissible in China

1. China Recognizes Virtual Assets as Legal Property.

Chinese courts have consistently held that cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin and Ethereum constitute personal property under the Civil Code. These rulings confirm that individual ownership is lawful.[5][6][7][8]

2. Hong Kong Supports Crypto Regulation and Retail Participation.

As a Special Administrative Region (SAR) of the People's Republic of China, Hong Kong operates under the 'one country, two systems' framework, which allows it to establish its own financial and regulatory policies. However, it remains an integral part of China. Not only does Hong Kong allow individuals and institutions to hold and trade virtual assets, but it has also proactively embraced digital assets with a comprehensive licensing regime. Its progressive and well-defined regulatory framework aims to attract global digital asset firms and provide robust investor protection mechanisms.[9][10][11][12]

3. Mischaracterization of Macau Policy.

The Trust references Macau's 2017 ICO-related warning, but this merely restricts financial institutions from participating in token issuances. It does not prohibit personal ownership or receipt of crypto assets.[13][14]

4. International Precedents Support Distributions to Chinese Creditors.

In Celsius Network LLC (Case No. 22-10964, S.D.N.Y.), the court approved USD distributions to Chinese claimants via wire. In the Mt. Gox rehabilitation, Chinese creditors lawfully received crypto (BTC, BCH) through Kraken. No jurisdictional restrictions were imposed in either case.[15][16]

Conclusion

In conclusion, distributing claims to Chinese creditors poses no legal risk to the Trustee or its agents and constitutes a required step under the bankruptcy process. The motion to classify China as a “Restricted Jurisdiction” lacks factual foundation and misapplies legal principles. I respectfully urge the Court to reject any designation that would exclude Chinese creditors from distributions under the Plan.

Respectfully submitted,

Chao Wang

Chao Wang

Dated: July 08, 2025

Appendix A: Reference Links

- [1] <https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2025/05/16/ftx-to-pay-over-usd5b-to-creditors-as-bankrupt-exchange-gears-up-for-distribution>
- [2] <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/ftx-recovery-trust-to-distribute-more-than-5-billion-to-creditors-in-second-distribution-on-may-30-2025-302456976.html>
- [3] <https://www.coinspeaker.com/ftx-adds-payoneer-as-payment-method-for-creditors>
- [4] <https://www.nysb.uscourts.gov/content/re-22-10964-mg-celsius-network-llc-21>
- [5] https://watcher.guru/news/bitcoin-is-now-recognized-as-a-legal-virtual-property-by-shanghai-high-court#google_vignette
- [6] <https://www.mondaq.com/fin-tech/1577154/shanghai-court-recognises-the-legality-of-crypto-ownership-for-individuals>
- [7] <https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2018/10/26/chinese-court-rules-bitcoin-should-be-protected-as-property>
- [8] <https://news.bitcoin.com/chinese-court-ethereum-legal>
- [9] <https://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/202210/31/P2022103000454.htm>

[10] <https://www.reuters.com/technology/crypto-exchange-hashkey-bags-licence-update-serve-retail-users-2023-08-03>

[11] <https://cointelegraph.com/news/hong-kong-welcomes-first-licensed-retail-crypto-exchange-hashkey>

[12] <https://www.engage.hoganlovells.com/knowledgeservices/news/hong-kong-government-policy-announcement-on-virtual-asset-exchanges-hong-kong-fintech-week-2022>

[13] <https://www.gov.mo/en/news/74177>

[14] <https://www.amcm.gov.mo/zh-hant/news-notice/statement/detail/alert-to-risks-of-virtual-commodities-and-tokens/642>

[15] <https://www.theblock.co/post/303624/mt-gox-creditors-may-have-to-wait-for-up-to-three-months-to-receive-their-bitcoin>

[16] <https://cointelegraph.com/news/mt-gox-confirm-bitcoin-addresses-repayment>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Chao Wang, hereby certify that on July 8, 2025, I caused a copy of the foregoing Objection to the Motion of the FTX Recovery Trust to Implement Restricted Jurisdiction Procedures to be served via electronic mail upon the following parties:

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP

- James L. Bromley – bromleyj@sullcrom.com
- Alexa J. Kranzley – kranzleya@sullcrom.com
- Andrew G. Dietderich – dietdericha@sullcrom.com
- Brian D. Glueckstein – gluecksteinb@sullcrom.com

Landis Rath & Cobb LLP

- Adam G. Landis – landis@lrclaw.com
- Kimberly A. Brown – brown@lrclaw.com
- Matthew R. Pierce – pierce@lrclaw.com

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: July 8, 2025

China

Chao Wang

Chao Wang

US

标快+
GE+

Uplift

SHLM-2506-X

From Wangchao 15705425754 Building 37, No. 46 Tianhe East
District Tianhe District, Guangzhou, City Guangdong Province China

To
Clerk of the
3022522900
U.S. Bankruptcy Court, 824
Market St 3rd Floor, Wi
ilmington, Delaware, Wi
ilmington Delaware (19801)

755VM-USA



EXPRESS
顺丰速运

注意/Note:
1、此胶袋仅限于
The polybag
2、此胶袋建议包
The polybag is

WU



CLERK OF THE COURT
824 N MARKET ST

WILMINGTON DE 19801

P: BLUE S: ELET
0325 - FDL
1Z144AW7014 186
2830

物品
the
RESS
速运

SF0284942132905

SF0284942132905

Description 托寄物:
DOC.1;

带信封

Payment 付款人
寄付现结
Actual 实际重量
Charge 计费重量

运单

SF0284942132905

10F1


1 KG

SHP#: 144A W7DR CZK
SHP WT: 0.5 KG
DATE: 09 JUL 2025

U.S.M.S.
X-RAY

SHIP TO:
CLERK OF THE COURT
3022522900
CLERK OF THE COURT
ST 3RD FLOOR, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT, 824 N MARKET
WILMINGTON DE 19801
UNITED STATES

DE 197 9-25



1P

UPS SAVER

TRACKING #: 1Z 144 AW7 04 3519 9186



EDI-DOC

BILLING: P/P
DESC: DOC

TX Ref No.: SF0284942132905
TX Ref No.: 0000 XOL 25.06.27

顺丰速运

Verify the information on this declaration is true and so
direct and the contents of this shipment are as stated above.
SIGNATURE:
DATE:
Description, Qty, Unit price, Value(CNY), Origin
1. DOC, 1, PCS, 0.0, undefined

WANGCHAO
15705425754
WANGCHAO
BUILDING 37 NO. 46 TIANHE EAST
DISTRICT GUANGZHOU CITY GUANG
CHINA MAINLAND

24 N Market St 3r
Delaware Wilmington

213 2905
(业务员) 3022522900